

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

IM21/1228

PEARME, GORDON, MCCOY & GRANGER 1200 LEADER BUILDING CLEVELAND OH 44114 EXAMINER

SHERRER, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

761

DATE MAILED:

12/28/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/776,321 Applicant(s)

Wubben et al

Examiner

Curtis E. Sherrer

Group Art Unit 1761



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 21, 1998	· ·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set t is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	
received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 25 U.S.C. & 119(e)
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 0.3.C. s 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	10(8).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
•	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Office Action Summary

2

Serial Number: 08/776,321

Art Unit: 1761

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction filed on 03/27/98 has been disapproved because it is not 1.

in the form of a pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink or with the changes otherwise

highlighted. See MPEP § 608.02(v).

2. The drawings are objected to because the chromatograms of Figs. 7A and 7B are of very

poor quality, so as to be unviewable. Correction is required. The insertion of new matter should

be avoided.

Claim Objections

Claims 29, 33 and 38 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper 3.

dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is

required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent

form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The cited claims recite a limitation found in

parent claim 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 18 to 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject 4.

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

3

Serial Number: 08/776,321

Art Unit: 1761

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession

of the claimed invention.

Applicants have added the phrase, in Paper #9, "adding one or more pectins to the 5.

beverage at a stage of the preparation process of said beverage effective to prevent any substantial

breakdown of said pectins" (Claim 36).

Claims 18 to 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 6.

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards

as the invention.

7. Claim 18 is considered indefinite because it appears to claim two ranges for which the

pectin should be added, i.e., at 0.5 to 30g, and at "a quantity effective to improve the foam head

stability."

Claim 36 is considered to indefinite because the scope of the phrase "effective to prevent 8.

any substantial breakdown of said pectin" is unknown.

Claim 20 is considered indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the phrase "the 9.

hop plant."

Claim 38 is considered indefinite because it is not seen how it further limits Claim 37. 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Serial Number: 08/776,321

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 11.

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has

fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof

by the applicant for patent.

Claims 18 to 24, 28 to 39, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 12.

anticipated by Papazian (The New Complete Joy of Home Brewing, page 64) as evidenced by

The Practical Brewer (pages 138-39) for the reason set forth in the last Office Action.

Claims 18 to 24, 28 to 39, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being 13.

anticipated by Lutzen et al (Homebrew Favorites, pp. 80 and 81) as evidenced by The Practical

Brewer (pages 138-39) for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Claims 18, 21, 36 to 38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated 14.

by Bukovskii et al. (S.U. Pat. No. 685689) for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Serial Number: 08/776,321

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 15.

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that

the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner

in which the invention was made.

Claims 24, 25, 27, 29, 31 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being 16.

unpatentable over Bukovskii et al for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Claims 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32 to 35, 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 17.

§ 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bukovskii et al in view of The Practical Brewer and in

further view of Food Colloids (pp. 418-35) for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 10/21/98 have been fully considered but they are not 18. persuasive.

Applicants state that claim 18 has been amended to distinguish itself from the prior art. 19.

With no other discussion, this is not persuasive.

Conclusion

6

Serial Number: 08/776,321

Art Unit: 1761

20. No claim is allowed.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Curtis Sherrer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3847. The examiner

can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 to 4:30.

22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

David Lacey, can be reached on (703)-308-3535. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)-

305-3602.

23. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Curtis E. Sherrer

December 23, 1998